

## References:

1. Mauritsen et al. (2012), JOURNAL OF ADVANCES IN MODELING EARTH SYSTEMS, VOL. 4, M00A01. doi:10.1029/2012MS000154, 2012 Tuning the climate of a global model  
<https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2012MS000154>
2. Golaz et al. (2013), GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS, VOL. 40, 2246–2251, doi:10.1002/grl.50232, 2013, Cloud tuning in a coupled climate model: Impact on 20th century warming  
<https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/grl.50232>
3. Rotstayn (2000), Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres Volume 105, Issue D12, On the “tuning” of autoconversion parameterizations in climate models  
<https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2000JD900129>
4. Menon et al. 2002, J. Atmos. Sci., 59, 692-713, GCM simulations of the aerosol indirect effect: Sensitivity to cloud parameterization and aerosol burden.  
[https://pubs.giss.nasa.gov/docs/2002/2002\\_Menon\\_me04000r.pdf](https://pubs.giss.nasa.gov/docs/2002/2002_Menon_me04000r.pdf)
5. Posselt and Lohmann, 2009, GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS, VOL. 36, L02805, doi:10.1029/2008GL035796, 2009, Sensitivity of the total anthropogenic aerosol effect to the treatment of rain in a global climate model  
<https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2008GL035796>
6. Wang, et al. (2012), Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L15709, doi:10.1029/2012GL052204, Constraining cloud lifetime effects of aerosols using A-Train satellite observations  
<https://deepblue.lib.umich.edu/bitstream/handle/2027.42/95434/grl29404.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>
7. Schwartz et al. 2007, Nature Rep. Climate Change, 2, 23–24, doi: 10.1038/climate.2007.22., Quantifying climate change—Too rosy a picture?  
<https://www.bnl.gov/envsci/schwartz/pubs/SchwartzClimate10WhyHasnt.pdf>
8. Kiehl, 2007 , Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L22710, doi:10.1029/2007 GL031383., Twentieth century climate model response and climate sensitivity  
<https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2007GL031383>
9. Andrews et al., 2012, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L09712, doi:10.1029/2012 GL051607, Forcing, feedbacks and climate sensitivity in CMIP5 coupled atmosphere-ocean climate models  
<https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2012GL051607>
10. Miller et al., 2014, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems

**Volume 6, Issue 2, CMIP5 historical simulations (1850–2012) with GISS ModelE2**

<https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/2013MS000266>

11. Femke, et al. 2020, An emergent constraint on Transient Climate Response from simulated historical warming in CMIP6 models

<https://esd.copernicus.org/articles/11/737/2020/esd-11-737-2020.pdf>

12. Flynn and Mauritsen, 2020, On the Climate Sensitivity and Historical Warming Evolution in Recent Coupled Model Ensembles

<https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/20/7829/2020/acp-20-7829-2020.pdf>

13. <https://judithcurry.com/2020/02/11/inconsistency-between-historical-and-future-cmip5-simulations/>

14. M. Torres et al., 2011, IEEE Int. Conf. on Acoust., Speech and Signal Proc. ICASSP-11, (2011) 4144--4147, A Complete Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition with Adaptive Noise

[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/220731876\\_Complete\\_ensemble\\_empirical\\_mode\\_decomposition\\_with\\_adaptive\\_noise](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/220731876_Complete_ensemble_empirical_mode_decomposition_with_adaptive_noise)

15. Huang et al., The empirical mode decomposition and the Hilbert spectrum for nonlinear and non-stationary time series analysis, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A, vol. 454, pp. 903–995, 1998.

<http://tec.earth.sinica.edu.tw/research/report/paper/20070711HHT.pdf>

16. KNMI Climate Explorer

<http://climexp.knmi.nl/select.cgi>

17. *ESGF Node at DKRZ*

<https://esgf-data.dkrz.de/projects/esgf-dkrz>

18. Meinshausen et al., 2011, Climatic Change (Special Issue), DOI: 10.1007/s10584-011-0156-z, The RCP Greenhouse Gas Concentrations and their Extension from 1765 to 2300

<http://www.pik-potsdam.de/~mmalte/rcps/>

19. Fiedler et al., 2019, First forcing estimates from the future CMIP6 scenarios of anthropogenic aerosol optical properties and an associated Twomey effect

<https://gmd.copernicus.org/articles/12/989/2019/>

**20. Gregory et al. (2015), The inconstancy of the transient climate response parameter under increasing CO<sub>2</sub>, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A 373: 20140417.**

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2014.0417>

<https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/pdf/10.1098/rsta.2014.0417>

**21. Forster et al. (2013), Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres Volume 118, Issue 3, Evaluating adjusted forcing and model spread for historical and future scenarios in the CMIP5 generation of climate models**

<https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/jgrd.50174>

22. Femke, et al., 2020, Emergent constraints on transient climate response (TCR) and equilibrium climate sensitivity (ECS) from historical warming in CMIP5 and CMIP6 models

<https://esd.copernicus.org/articles/11/737/2020/esd-11-737-2020.pdf>

**23. Cook, 1979, Influential Observations in Linear Regression, Journal of the American Statistical Association. American Statistical Association. 74 (365): 169–**

**174. doi:10.2307/2286747. JSTOR 2286747. MR 0529533**

<https://www.ime.usp.br/~abe/lista/pdfWiH1zqnMHo.pdf>

**24. Hellemo, L. et al., 2018, Decision-dependent probabilities in stochastic programs with recourse,**

**Computational Management Science volume 15, pages369–395(2018)**

<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10287-018-0330-0>

**25. Rotstayn and Collier, 2015, Why Does Aerosol Forcing Control Historical Global-Mean Surface Temperature Change in CMIP5 Models?, Journal of Climate**

<https://journals.ametsoc.org/jcli/article/28/17/6608/35006/Why-Does-Aerosol-Forcing-Control-Historical-Global>

**26. Smith, et al., 2020, Effective radiative forcing and adjustments in CMIP6 models, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics**

<https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/20/9591/2020/acp-20-9591-2020.pdf>

**27. Zelinka, et al., 2020, Causes of Higher Climate Sensitivity in CMIP6 Models, Geophysical Research Letters**

<https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2019GL085782>